wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Elm	ner Wilfredo Velasquez-Candia	Case Number: 13-01304M-001	
In accordance	with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.S.C. § 314	2(f), a detention hearing was held on March 18, 2013. Defendant was presenderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
·	ponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant far Enforcement, placing him/her beyond to or otherwise removed.	ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deport	
	The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	ory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h	
	There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cod in the record. • Control of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cod in the record.	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend		
2.		ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
۷.			
The d		NS REGARDING DETENTION	
		he Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pendi	

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: March 18, 2013

United States Magistrate Judge